Energy Sustainable Florida Communities

Energy and Climate Policy

1. How important are energy/climate change issues in your jurisdiction? (N = 117)

| | Percent |
|----------------------|---------|
| Not important | 11.1% |
| Somewhat important | 38.5% |
| Moderately important | 31.6% |
| Very important | 18.8% |

2. Does your jurisdiction have a formal climate change and/or energy plan separate from the comprehensive plan? (N = 117)

| | Percent |
|---|---------|
| Both climate change and energy plans | 2.6% |
| Climate change plan | 0.9% |
| Energy plan | 5.1% |
| Neither an energy nor a climate change plan | 91.5% |

3. Which of the following energy/ climate related issues does your jurisdiction officially address (e.g., through regulation or policies) with regard to its government facilities and community at large? (N = 116)

| | Government | Community |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Green buildings | 29.3% | 17.2% |
| Retro-fitting existing buildings for energy efficiency | 40.5% | 19.0% |
| Alternative transportation systems | 23.3% | 37.1% |
| Green infrastructure | 21.4% | 13.8% |
| Green procurement | 17.9% | 9.5% |
| Regional air quality | 16.8% | 17.1% |
| Technology innovation/ demonstration projects | 12.0% | 6.9% |
| Energy efficient devices (appliances, lighting, etc.) | 47.9% | 20.7% |
| Energy efficiency systems (building controls etc.) | 15.1% | 15.5% |
| Waste-to-energy | 8.5% | 8.6% |
| Renewable energy | 12.0% | 8.6% |

| Smart grid/net metering | 6.8% | 6.9% |
|---|-------|-------|
| Alternative fuels | 14.5% | 7.8% |
| Incorporating energy use in land use decisions | 15.5% | 13.8% |
| Energy related development incentives | 9.5% | 9.5% |
| Inventory of greenhouse gas emissions | 12.1% | 4.3% |
| Formally adopted greenhouse gas reduction goals | 7.8% | 7.8% |

4. In which of the following initiatives is your jurisdiction participating? If not, are any being considered? (N = 110)

| | Percent |
|--|---------|
| U.S. Conference of Mayors Climate Protection Agreement | 13.6% |
| ICLEI USA – Cities for Climate Protection | 5.6% |
| Sierra Club Cool Cities Initiative | 1.9% |
| HUD/DOT "Livable Communities Initiative" | 2.8% |
| FGBC – Green Local Government Designation | 10.0% |

5. Which office or department in your jurisdiction has primary responsibility for developing energy policy plans? (N = 113)

| | Percent |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Planning Department | 43.4% |
| Building Department | 1.8% |
| Community Development | 6.2% |
| Public Works Department | 2.7% |
| Environment/Sustainability Department | 1.8% |
| Mayor's Office | 11.5% |
| City Manager's Office | 15.0% |
| City Council/Commission | 13.3% |
| Local Utility | 2.7% |

6. To the best of your knowledge, how active are each of the following entities in promoting sustainable energy and climate change planning and innovation in your county?

| | Not Active | Somewhat | Very | Don't |
|----------------------------|------------|----------|--------|-------|
| | | Active | Active | Know |
| Municipal Government | 20.0% | 52.2% | 12.2% | 15.7% |
| Regional Planning Council | 10.4% | 49.6% | 18.3% | 21.7% |
| Special District | 24.1% | 19.6% | 3.6% | 52.7% |
| Regional Partnership | 14.5% | 16.4% | 8.2% | 60.9% |
| MPO | 8.8% | 44.7% | 11.4% | 35.1% |
| FL DCA | 10.4% | 38.3% | 23.5% | 27.8% |
| FL DOT | 14.0% | 35.1% | 12.3% | 38.6% |
| FL DEP | 12.2% | 27.8% | 17.4% | 42.6% |
| Other State Agencies | 10.6% | 16.8% | 2.7% | 69.9% |
| Private Utilities | 9.6% | 33.9% | 10.4% | 46.1% |
| Federal Agencies | 8.0% | 26.5% | 19.5% | 46.0% |
| Civic Groups/NGOs | 12.3% | 24.6% | 6.1% | 57.0% |
| Local Builders Association | 14.8% | 30.4% | 1.7% | 53.0% |

7. To what extent do jurisdictions in your county work cooperatively on climate change or energy planning issues? (N = 110)

| | Not At All | Somewhat To a Great | | Don't Know |
|----------------|------------|---------------------|--------|------------|
| | | | Extent | |
| Energy | 23.6% | 45.5% | 6.4% | 24.5% |
| Climate Change | 34.5% | 31.0% | 6.0% | 28.4% |

Energy Cost Reduction by Government

8. To what extent has your government undertaken efforts to reduce overall energy use by government? (N = 111)

| | Percent | |
|----------------|---------|--|
| Not at all | 13.5% | |
| Somewhat | 78.4% | |
| A great extent | 8.1% | |

9. On a scale from 1 = "not an obstacle" to 5 = "sustainable obstacle", please rate the following factors with respect to your local government's ability to reduce overall energy use. (N=119)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Cost/lack of funds | 2.7% | 3.6% | 10.8% | 23.4% | 59.5% |
| Conflict with other budget priorities | 4.5% | 4.5% | 11.8% | 35.5% | 43.6% |
| Lack of time/expertise to plan | 8.3% | 12.8% | 20.2% | 32.1% | 26.6% |
| Lack of informational resources | 22.7% | 22.7% | 25.5% | 17.3% | 11.8% |
| Qualified contractors not available | 5.2% | 21.5% | 30.8% | 14.0% | 8.4% |
| Lack of "political will" | 17.3% | 18.2% | 36.4% | 15.5% | 12.7% |
| Length of time needed for approval | 33.6% | 33.6% | 14.5% | 10.0% | 8.2% |
| Length of implementation time | 26.4% | 23.6% | 28.2% | 14.5% | 7.3% |

Economic Development

10. How important is the attraction of "green business" in your jurisdiction's economic development strategy? (N = 111)

| | Percent |
|--------------------|---------|
| Not important | 26.1% |
| Somewhat important | 49.5% |
| Highly important | 18.0% |
| Most important | 6.3% |

11. Does your jurisdiction's economic development strategy include efforts to attract green business or industry through the following? (N = 111)

| | <u>Percent</u> |
|--|----------------|
| Financial or other incentives to encourage energy efficient | 11.5% |
| technologies in new development | |
| Financial or other incentives specifically targeted to the | 12.4% |
| renewable energy sector | |
| Regulatory relief of streamlined processes for developments that | 18.6% |
| incorporate energy efficient technologies | |
| Regulatory relief or streamlined processes for development | 16.8% |
| in the renewable energy sector | |

12. Does your jurisdiction have a written economic development plan? (N = 111)

| | Percent |
|-----|---------|
| Yes | 29.7% |
| No | 70.3% |
| | |

Comprehensive Plans & State and Federal Policy

13. Did your jurisdiction's comprehensive plan or its amendments directly address climate change or energy issues in any of the following elements prior to the Florida Energy Bill (HB 697) passed in 2008?

| | <u>Prior 2008</u> | <u>Since 2008</u> |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Housing Element | 31.0% | 37.9% |
| Transportation Element | 33.3% | 42.9% |
| Conservation Element | 33.3% | 36.7% |
| Infrastructure Element | 30.8% | 34.6% |
| Open Space and Recreation Element | 40.4% | 28.8% |
| Intergovernmental Coordination Element | 27.5% | 29.4% |
| Coastal Management Element | 17.8% | 20.0% |
| Economic Development Element | 16.3% | 25.6% |
| Future Land-Use | 34.3% | 41.8% |
| Current Land-Use Map | 29.5% | 20.5% |
| Future Land-Use Map | 34.7% | 26.5% |

14. In planning your approach to comply with DCAs draft rule for HB 697, what actions do you anticipate? (select all that apply)

| | Percent |
|---|---------|
| Revise and/or add policies in existing elements | 71.6% |
| Identify existing plan provisions | 37.9% |
| Don't know yet | 29.4% |

Land Use and Open Space

15. Has your jurisdiction established LAND-USE policies or programs to encourage or require the following? (N=104)

| | Encourage | <u>Require</u> | <u>No</u> |
|---|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | programs |
| | | | or policies |
| | | | in place |
| Reduce greenhouse gas emissions | 24.8% | 0.0% | 75.2% |
| Compact developments | 44.2% | 12.5% | 43.3% |
| Mixed-use development | 64.8% | 13.3% | 21.9% |
| Transit oriented development | 48.5% | 6.9% | 44.6% |
| In-fill development | 62.5% | 7.7% | 29.8% |
| Community-wide bicycle/pedestrian plan | 49.0% | 10.6% | 40.4% |
| Street design for multi-modal mobility | 42.3% | 11.5% | 46.2% |
| LEED or other green development certification | 31.7% | 2.0% | 66.3% |

16. Have the following Land Use Management techniques been used by your jurisdiction in the last 24 months? (N=104)

| | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | Don't Know |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Up-zoning to higher density | 29.4% | 66.7% | 3.9% |
| Inclusionary housing policy | 11.0% | 81.0% | 8.0% |
| Urban growth boundary | 21.6% | 70.6% | 7.8% |
| Impact fees | 60.2% | 36.9% | 2.9% |
| Density bonuses | 23.0% | 74.0% | 3.0% |
| Transfer of development rights | 8.9% | 84.2% | 6.9% |

17. To which of the following eligible activities are your Federal Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) funds directed?

| | <u>Percent</u> |
|---|----------------|
| Development of an efficiency and conservation strategy | 26.2% |
| Residential and commercial building energy audits | 12.5% |
| Incentive programs for energy efficiency improvements | 17.2% |
| Grants to nonprofit organizations and government agencies | 9.4% |
| Energy conservation programs for buildings and facilities | 29.2% |
| Development and implementation of transportation programs | 10.9% |
| Building codes and inspections to promote energy efficiency | 14.1% |
| Distributed resources, combined heat and power and district systems | 3.2% |
| Material conservation programs | 17.2% |
| Energy efficient traffic signals and street lighting | 16.9% |
| Renewable energy technologies on government buildings | 26.2% |

Zoning Regulations, Subdivision Regulations, and Permitting

18. Does your development review encourage energy efficient land use patterns through any of the following strategies? (N=112)

| | Percent |
|--|---------|
| Compact arrangement of higher density and intensity of mixed land uses | 53.4% |
| that support a multi-modal transportation system | |
| Infill and redevelopment | 56.1% |
| Accounting for existing and future electric power generation and transmission | 4.5% |
| systems | |
| Complete streets that accommodate transit, pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists | 35.7% |
| Reduced parking | 22.3% |
| Street network | 42.0% |
| Landscape planting for energy conservation | 43.8% |
| Green infrastructure | 14.3% |
| Heat island reduction | 12.5% |
| Preservation of open space and urban and rural forests for CO2 capture | 31.2% |
| Lot and/or street orientation for wind or solar consideration | 4.5% |
| Housing and jobs proximity | 18.8% |
| Housing and school proximity | 19.6% |
| Infrastructure (water & sewer) proximity | 43.8% |

19. Does your jurisdiction's site plan and development review encourage the following green Construction & Technology issues? (N=113)

| | Percent |
|--|---------|
| Day lighting | 2.7% |
| Certified Green Buildings | 15.2% |
| Energy Efficient Buildings | 23.0% |
| Reduced Water Use | 37.2% |
| Heat Island Reduction | 11.6% |
| Passive and/or Active Solar Collection | 6.2% |
| On-Site Renewable Energy Sources | 4.5% |
| Light Pollution Reduction | 20.5% |
| Green Roofs | 8.9% |
| Permeable Paving | 29.2% |

20. Does your development review system offer fast-track, streamlining or other

development-review incentives to encourage green neighborhood design? (N=102)

| | Percent |
|------------|---------|
| Yes | 13.8% |
| No | 70.2% |
| Don't Know | 5.9% |

21. Does your jurisdiction offer density bonuses or other incentives to developers for incorporating energy efficiency or sustainable elements into their projects? (N=104)

| | Percent |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Yes | 10.6% |
| No | 70.2% |
| Not now, but under consideration | 14.4% |
| Don't know | 4.8% |

22. Does your jurisdiction have policies, plans, or regulations for the following: (N=104)

| | Yes | No | Don't |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | Know |
| Greenways | 45.0% | 51.0% | 4.0% |
| Water source and flow protection | 74.5% | 17.3% | 8.2% |
| Tree preservation | 87.5% | 9.6% | 2.9% |
| Tree planting | 90.3% | 5.8% | 3.9% |
| Urban forest management | 26.3% | 66.3% | 7.4% |
| Prime farmland preservation | 5.3% | 85.3% | 9.5% |
| Green streets, bioswells, or rain gardens | 11.2% | 78.6% | 10.2% |

Housing and Green Building

23. Does your jurisdiction offer loans, grants, or rebates to encourage energy

efficiency or energy savings? (N=104)

| | Yes | No | Don't |
|--|------|-------|-------|
| | | | Know |
| Upgrading or retrofitting buildings | 9.6% | 84.6% | 5.8% |
| Upgrading heating ventilation and air conditioning | 8.7% | 84.5% | 6.8% |
| systems | | | |
| Upgrading building electrical systems (including | 7.8% | 86.3% | 5.9% |
| lighting) | | | |
| Upgrading appliances for energy conservation | 9.7% | 84.5% | 5.8% |
| Solar hot water heating panels | 6.9% | 87.3% | 5.9% |
| Solar PV panels | 4.9% | 89.2% | 5.9% |
| Green roofs | 1.9% | 91.3% | 6.8% |
| High reflection paving or roofing | 1.9% | 91.3% | 6.8% |

24. Does your jurisdiction offer permitting incentives to encourage the construction of energy efficient buildings? (N=104)

| | Percent |
|------------|---------|
| Yes | 84.6% |
| No | 10.6% |
| Don't Know | 4.8% |

25. Does your jurisdiction have green building requirements or guidelines based on LEED, FGBC, or Energy Star standards? (N = 102)

| | <u>Percent</u> |
|------------|----------------|
| Yes | 15.7% |
| No | 77.5% |
| Don't Know | 6.9% |

26. Are your green building actions part of a county-wide or region-wide coordinated effort? (N = 96)

| | Percent |
|-----|---------|
| Yes | 8.3% |
| No | 79.2% |

| Don't Know | 12.5% |
|------------|-------|
|------------|-------|

27. Are your green building guidelines or requirements part of a formal climate action strategy? (N = 96)

| | Percent |
|------------|---------|
| Yes | 6.4% |
| No | 83.0% |
| Don't Know | 10.6% |

Transportation and Green Transit

28. What types of public transit are available in your jurisdiction? (N=117)

| | Percent |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Bus | 68.3% |
| Express bus | 5.7% |
| Rail | 11.4% |
| Paratransit | 34.3% |
| Public transit is not provided | 24.5% |

29. What "green transit" technologies has your jurisdiction adopted?

| | Percent |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Alternative fuels | 9.5% |
| Hybrid technology | 14.3% |
| LEED certified facilities | 3.8% |

30. Does your jurisdiction employ any strategies that seek to reduce vehicle miles traveled?

| | Percent |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Transit passes | 6.0% |
| Carpool program | 11.7% |
| Limit parking availability | 4.9% |

| Bike sharing program | 2.9% |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Pedestrian infrastructure | 26.2% |
| Mobility fees | 2.9% |
| Public education on alternative modes | 12.6% |

Organization and Intergovernmental Relations

31. Does your government have a separate agency or office responsible for energy conservation/ sustainability?

| | Percent |
|------------|---------|
| Yes | 94.2% |
| No | 2.9% |
| Don't Know | 2.9% |

32. Has your government engaged in any of the following collaborative actions related to land use related greenhouse gas emissions? (check all that apply)

| | <u>Percent</u> |
|---|----------------|
| Worked with other agencies or local governments in activities | 5.9% |
| such as an inventory of GHG emissions | |
| Joined a collaborative partnership with other local entities | 12.4% |
| Entered into an informal agreement with one or more local governments | 5.7% |
| Entered into an formal agreement with one or more local governments | 1.9% |
| Enacted changes to your comprehensive plan or other local plans | 17.8% |
| based on regional planning efforts | |
| None of the above | 89.4% |

33. To what extent would the following individuals or groups support or oppose energy conservation and climate protection efforts by your government? (1 = "Strongly Oppose" to 5 = "Strongly Support")

| 4 | | • | 4 | _ |
|---|----|----------|---|-----|
| | 7. | 3 | 4 | · • |
| - | _ | <i>-</i> | - | |

| City manager (or chief administrator) | 2.3% | 4.6% | 13.8% | 50.6% | 28.7% |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Chamber of commerce | 2.5% | 3.8% | 35.0% | 42.5% | 16.2% |
| Neighborhood organizations | 2.4% | 3.6% | 39.3% | 39.3% | 15.5% |
| Environmental groups | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.9% | 18.8% | 68.2% |
| Real estate developers | 7.1% | 20.2% | 48.8% | 17.9% | 6.0% |
| Homeowner associations | 0.0% | 13.4% | 52.4% | 26.8% | 7.3% |
| Local business | 2.4% | 15.3% | 49.4% | 24.7% | 8.2% |
| The media | 1.2% | 4.9% | 33.3% | 44.4% | 16.0% |
| The general public | 1.1% | 5.7% | 35.2% | 47.7% | 10.2% |
| City council/ commission | 2.2% | 4.5% | 20.2% | 48.3% | 24.7% |
| Members of city staff | 0.0% | 1.1% | 16.5% | 48.4% | 34.1% |
| Key community leaders | 1.2% | 1.2% | 30.2% | 50.5% | 17.4% |